Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/622,686	KONONEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BJ Forman	1634
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>BJ Forman</u> .	(3)	
(2) Wayne Rupert.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 July 2003/23 July 2003	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	int's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
BJ FORMAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINED		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner and Mr. Rupert discussed claim amendments to place the claims in condition for allowance in view of the previous cited art and references submitted in the IDS of Dec '02 and June '03. The amendments were agreed upon by the examiner and Mr. Rupert. Mr. Rupert supplied a complete listing of claims including the amendments via FAX.